

Visualization: Volume rendering

Visualization

Visualization is any technique for creating images, diagrams or animations to **communicate a message**.

Wikipedia

Scientific data visualization

- Abstract
- Physics (fluids, ...)
- Medical (X-Rays, MRI, Images, ...)
- Technics (Mechanics, ...)
- ...

Visualization : Problematic

- Complex Data: non visualizable (density, tensors, ...)
- Large amount of data : 10, 100 To (landscape, connections, scanners, ...)
- Noisy data (medical, ...)

Goal: Being able to visualization what is **significant**, **usefully**, and **efficiently**.


Data types

- Scalar field (temp, pression, ...)
- Vectorial field (vitesse, orientation, ...)
- Tensorial field (mechanical constraints, curvature, ...)

Goal: Do we define the data on a surface, on a volume ?



Scalar field

Surface of the domain or internal characteristics





Scalar field

2D section or volume rendering (isosurface, 3D textures, ...)





Scalar field

2D Section or 3D Isosurface





Vectorial field

Vectors or trajectories





Vectorial field

Vectors or trajectories (stream lines can represent real data)






Vectorial field

Complex physically based simulation (streamlines, ...)






Tensorial field

Symmetric matrix 3x3 (Ellipsoid, glyphs, orientation, fiber-tracking, ...)






Large data

Data acquired from physical scanners are often too large
(geography, networks, ...)



Large data

Visible Human Project, 40Go (slices of 0.33mm)



Classification



$$\text{Visualize } f : \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^v & \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \text{ embedded in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ u & \mapsto f(u) \end{cases}$$

$d=1$	scalar field
$d>1$	vectorial field
$d=(i \times j)$	matrix field

$v=1$	lineic field
$v=2$	surfacic field
$v=3$	volume field

Common
special cases

v	d	n	
2	1	2	B&W image
2	3	2	Color image (texture)
2	1	3	Heigh-field (mountains)
3	1	3	Volume density




Surfacic scalar data

Surfacic scalar data : Notation


We call a density $f(u_1, u_2) = I \in \mathbb{R}$

Very often: $f(x, y) = I$


After discretization: $f(k_x \Delta x, k_y \Delta y) = I_{k_x, k_y}$



0.5	-0.2	1.1
1.5	0.5	0.9
-0.1	0.0	0.7



Surfacic scalar data : Example





Surfacic scalar data : Example



Isolines


Goal: Trace curves on a specific value
Called: isolines, isocurves, level sets, ...



Isolines : input/output

Input: Scalar values on a regular discrete grid + isovalue η

Output: Set of curves $\{\gamma = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid I(x, y) = \eta\}$
(degenerated cases: points, regions)



Example: continuous cases

For $\eta = 0$

$$F_1 = 1$$

$$F_2 = 1$$

$$F_3 = (x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 - r_0^2$$

$$F_4 = F_3(x_0, y_0, r_0) + F_3(x_1, y_1, r_1)$$

$$F_5 = F_3(x_0, y_0, r_0) \times F_3(x_1, y_1, r_1)$$


We can define a curve by its implicit equation
+ Arbitrary topology

Marching squares

-61	-45	-42	-52	-72	-91	-99	-89
-17	8	13	-2	-34	-69	-94	-98
25	57	64	43	2	-45	-84	-99
51	87	94	71	25	-30	-76	-99
51	87	94	71	25	-30	-76	-99
25	57	64	43	2	-45	-84	-99
-17	7	13	-2	-34	-69	-94	-98
-61	-45	-42	-52	-72	-91	-99	-89


Marching squares

Which curve should we consider?




Marching squares

Middle of the edges




Marching squares

Interpolation (bi-)linear




Marching squares

Other interpolation (cubic, spline, etc)




Marching squares

Result for the previous grid



Interpolation





Zeros finding in linear interpolation



$$X = \frac{I(X_1)X_0 - I(X_0)X_1}{I(X_1) - I(X_0)}$$


Marching square

For a single cell: 16 different possibilities



Marching square

Some undetermined case




Volume data

Volume data : Notation


For volume field: $f(u_1, u_2, u_3) = I \in \mathbb{R}$

Very often: $f(x, y, z) = I$

After discretization: $f(k_x \Delta x, k_y \Delta y, k_z \Delta z) = I_{k_x, k_y, k_z}$




0.5	1.5	4.1	-2.5
5.0	-0.1	-0.4	3.0
6.7	-1.4	-2.4	-3.3
-1.4	-0.5	-0.2	-2.0



Medical Imaging Modalities


X-Ray

Anatomical
Absorbption measurement
(*inverse problem*)



Nuclear (PET, SPECT)

Functional
Attenuated emission
(*inverse problem, noise*)



MRI

Anatomical (*MRI, Angiography*)
or Functional
Density measurement (*direct*)



Slicing visualization


Idea: Slice some surfaces on the volume

Encode the field as a color (gray level, texture, etc)

Draw $I(u_1 = \text{const}, u_2, u_3)$

$I(u_1, u_2 = \text{const}, u_3)$

$I(u_1, u_2, u_3 = \text{const})$



Slicing visualization

We can use more general surfaces
Which is the best surface ?



Marching cubes

A common surface is the iso-surface

The isosurface of isovalue η of the I function is the set

$$\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid I(x, y, z) = \eta\}$$

In making η evolving, we obtain different surfaces

How to triangulate such implicit surface ?

Marching cubes : Examples

For $\eta = 0$

$$F_1 = 1$$

$$F_2 = 0$$

$$F_3 = (x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z - z_0)^2 - r_0^2$$

$$F_4 = F_3(x_0, y_0, z_0, r_0) + F_3(x_1, y_1, z_1, r_1)$$

$$F_5 = F_3(x_0, y_0, z_0, r_0) \times F_3(x_1, y_1, z_1, r_1)$$

A surface can be defined by its equation


+ Arbitrary topology

Marching cubes : Intro

Goal: Build a triangulated surface from a discrete volumetric scalar field given by $I(x, y, z) - \eta$

First software patent in CG in 1985 from Lorensen & Cline.

Input data: 3D Grid in (x,y,z) of (Ni,Nj,Nk) voxels.



A 3D grid of 4x4x4 voxels is shown. The front face of the grid is labeled with numerical values. The values are arranged in a 4x4 grid as follows:

0.5	1.5	4.1	-2.5
5.0	-0.1	-0.4	3.0
6.7	-1.4	-2.4	-3.3
-1.4	-0.5	-0.2	-2.0


Marching cubes : Principle

Traversal of the grid "cube by cube"

Compute the sign of $I(x, y, z) - \eta$

Check the possible cases


The 0 value is obtain by interpolation



Marching cubes : Different cases

A total of 256 possible cases

Only 15 basic cases





Marching cubes : Usage

+ Efficient

- Cubic aspect
 - Smooth volume
 - Smooth surface
 - Medical correctness
- Undetermined cases


Isosurface example: MRI

MRI Data (256 x 256 x 99)




Isosurface example: MRI

Can observe internal structure



Isosurface example: MRI

Combining Slicing + isosurface





Isosurface example: CT

CT (X-Ray) data


Morphological data (skin, bone)

256 x 256 x 99





Isosurface example: CT

Combination slice + isosurface




Isosurface example: CT

Accumulation of surface with transparency



Isosurface example: CT

Using rendering: morphological visualization




Ray casting

Ray casting


What we have seen:

- Slice in a volume
- Isosurface extraction (marching cubes/tetrahedron)



What we are going to see

- Transparency rendering
= **volume rendering**



Ray casting

Surface rendering

- + Accurate
- + Data reduction
- Local information
A-priori knowledge



Volume rendering

- + Global information,
direct visualization
- Not accurate,
transparency can
be tricky






Pipe-line: Volume rendering to guide a surface extraction

Ray casting

Goal: Modeling a data acquisition using transparency


Problem: Human are not used to see transparency

General approach: Ray-tracing/casting = Throw rays and set the color as a function of path and obstacles.



Ray casting: equations

For attenuated emission



$$C(x + dx) = [1 - \alpha(x) dx] C(x) + f(x)$$


$$\Rightarrow C'(x) = -\alpha(x)C(x) + f(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow C(x) = \left(\int_{x_0}^x f(u) e^{\int_{x_0}^u \alpha(t) dt} + C(x_0) \right) e^{-\int_{x_0}^x \alpha(t) dt}$$

For a given α, f , find C = Volume rendering

For a given C , find α, f = Tomography

Ray casting: discretization



In discrete form $c_k = (1 - \alpha_k) c_{k-1} + f_k$

α_k, f_k are functions of the intensity I of the current voxel

Can also depends on the derivatives

ex. $\alpha_k = A \Delta x I_k, f_k = B \Delta x I_k$


More generally, transfert functions are used

$$\alpha_k = \mathcal{F}(I_k) \quad f_k = \mathcal{G}(I_k)$$


Ray casting: Implementation

2 Approches

Throw rotated lines in fixed grid



Rotate grid and integrate along fixed axis
(3D texture)




Trivial parallelisation

MIP

MIP = Maximum Intensity Projection $c = \max_k(I_k)$

- + Fast, simple
- + Standard in medical domain
- No information about depth
(without motion)





Shading

Diffuse shading $\cos(\theta) = \langle \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{n} \rangle$

In a given voxel, approximates the surface normal


$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{\nabla I}{\|\nabla I\|}$$



$$\nabla I = \begin{pmatrix} I(k_x + 1, ky, kz) - I(k_x - 1, ky, kz) \\ I(k_x, ky + 1, kz) - I(k_x, ky - 1, kz) \\ I(k_x, ky, kz + 1) - I(k_x, ky, kz - 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

Trilinear interpolation

$$\begin{aligned} c = & (1 - u_x)(1 - u_y)(1 - u_z) & c0+ \\ & u_x(1 - u_y)(1 - u_z) & c1+ \\ & (1 - u_x)(1 - u_y)u_z & c2+ \\ & (1 - u_x)u_y(1 - u_z) & c3+ \\ & u_xu_y(1 - u_z) & c4+ \\ & (1 - u_x)u_yu_z & c5+ \\ & u_x(1 - u_y)u_z & c6+ \\ & u_xu_yu_z & c7 \end{aligned}$$



Libraries

VTK: The Visualization ToolKit

Heavy and complete set of tools.

<http://www.vtk.org>

Volume rendering library (Stanford).

Standard, old.

<http://www-graphics.stanford.edu/software/volpack/>

ImageVis3D (Utah).

<http://www.sci.utah.edu/cibc/software/41-imagevis3d.html>

V3.

Fast on the GPU

<http://www.stereofx.org/volume.html>